

Graphical Representation Enhances Compliance with Normative Argumentation Principles

Srdjan Vesic, Bruno Yun, Predrag Teovanovic

▶ To cite this version:

Srdjan Vesic, Bruno Yun, Predrag Teovanovic. Graphical Representation Enhances Compliance with Normative Argumentation Principles. SPUDM 2021, Aug 2021, Warwick (online), United Kingdom. hal-03426766

HAL Id: hal-03426766 https://univ-artois.hal.science/hal-03426766

Submitted on 12 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphical Representation Enhances Compliance with Normative Argumentation Principles

Srdjan Vesic (vesic@cril.fr), Bruno Yun and Predrag Teovanovic

Introduction

Reasoning semantics in formal argumentation follow normative argumentation principles.

Do argumentation principles proposed by the AI researchers realistically model human reasoning?

Methods

- Participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups:
 Graph (n = 57) and No Graph (n = 41).
- They answered 16 questions where they needed to estimate the strength of each argument by using the scale from 1 (very weak) to 4 (very strong).
- Participants also completed three Cognitive Reflection Test tasks and short, five item versions of Need for cognition and Faith in intuition scales.

A: John thinks that each tennis game should end after one player wins three sets.

B: Pierre thinks that each tennis game should end after one player wins two sets.

C: Gerhard claims that the players will be too tired at the end of the season if all the tournaments are played on three sets.

A: John thinks a tennis game should end after one player wins three sets.

B: Pierre thinks a tennis game should end after one player wins two sets.

C: Gerhard claims that the players will be too tired at the end of the season if all the tournaments are played on three sets.

D: Ichiro says that the Association of Tennis Professionals (ATP) should provide more money for young players since the sponsors have too much impact.

A B
A B C D

Scale	No graph (n=57)		Graph (n=41)		Test of difference				
	М	SD	M	SD	t (96)	р	r	d	
Independence	72.7	23.1	89.1	16.5	4.11	< .001	.39	0.84	
Anonymity between tasks	18.1	19.4	50.5	30.5	6.00	< .001	.52	1.23	
Anonymity within tasks	70.2	20.3	85.6	13.0	4.58	< .001	.42	0.94	
Void precedence	47.3	24.7	73.0	32.0	4.29	< .001	.40	0.88	
Maximality	38.8	25.0	57.2	27.2	4.42	.001	.33	0.70	

Conclusions

The graphical representation of argument significantly enhances:

- performance on group level
- reliability of individual differences

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Independence		.43**	.45**	.56**	.40**	.27*	.31*	.10	.29*
2. Anonymity between tasks	07		.62**	.70**	.44**	.28*	.25	04	.04
3. Anonymity within tasks	.42**	.52**		.62**	.40**	.19	.26	.16	.09
4. Void precedence	.54**	.04	.28		.65**	.47**	.37**	.14	.13
5. Maximality	.45**	37**	.10	.51**		.32*	.18	.19	.13
6. Control tasks	.44**	.24	.47**	.47**	08		.20	.27*	.11
7. Cognitive reflection	.22	03	.17	.21	.05	.20		.05	.02
8. Need for cognition	22	16	12	.02	.02	04	11		.34*
9. Faith in intuition	07	.05	08	23	21	.04	.05	03	