

Some examples of composition operators and their approximation numbers on the Hardy space of the bi-disk

Daniel Li, Hervé Queffélec, Luis Rodríguez-Piazza

▶ To cite this version:

Daniel Li, Hervé Queffélec, Luis Rodríguez-Piazza. Some examples of composition operators and their approximation numbers on the Hardy space of the bi-disk. 2018. hal-01536919v2

HAL Id: hal-01536919 https://univ-artois.hal.science/hal-01536919v2

Preprint submitted on 1 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Some examples of composition operators and their approximation numbers on the Hardy space of the bi-disk

Daniel Li, Hervé Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza

March 1, 2018

Abstract. We give examples of composition operators C_{Φ} on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ showing that the condition $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = 1$ is not sufficient for their approximation numbers $a_n(C_{\Phi})$ to satisfy $\lim_{n\to\infty} [a_n(C_{\Phi})]^{1/\sqrt{n}} = 1$, contrary to the 1-dimensional case. We also give a situation where this implication holds. We make a link with the Monge-Ampère capacity of the image of Φ .

Key-words: approximation numbers; Bergman space; bidisk; composition operator; Green capacity; Hardy space; Monge-Ampère capacity; weighted composition operator.

$$\label{eq:MSC2010} \begin{split} MSC\ 2010\ numbers - \ Primary:\ 47B33 - \ Secondary:\ 30H10 - \ 30H20 - \ 31B15 - \ 32A35 - \ 32U20 - \ 41A35 - \ 46B28 \end{split}$$

1 Introduction and notation

1.1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to continue the study of composition operators on the polydisk initiated in [2], and in particular to examine to what extent one of the main results of [21] still holds.

Let H be a Hilbert space and $T: H \to H$ a bounded operator. Recall that the *approximation numbers* of T are defined as:

$$a_n(T) = \inf_{\text{rank } R < n} \|T - R\|, \quad n \ge 1,$$

and we have:

$$||T|| = a_1(T) \ge a_2(T) \ge \cdots \ge a_n(T) \ge \cdots$$

The operator T is compact if and only if $a_n(T) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$.

For $d \ge 1$, we define:

$$\begin{cases} \beta_d^-(T) &= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left[a_{n^d}(T) \right]^{1/n} \\ \beta_d^+(T) &= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[a_{n^d}(T) \right]^{1/n} \end{cases}$$

We have:

$$0 \le \beta_d^-(T) \le \beta_d^+(T) \le 1$$

and we simply write $\beta_d(T)$ in case of equality.

It may well happen in general (consider diagonal operators) that $\beta_d^-(T) = 0$ and $\beta_d^+(T) = 1$.

When $H = H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the Hardy space on the open unit disk \mathbb{D} of \mathbb{C} , and $T = C_{\Phi}$ is a composition operator, with $\Phi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ a non-constant analytic function, we always have ([19]):

$$\beta_1^-(C_\Phi) > 0 \,,$$

and one of the main results of [19] is the equivalence:

(1.1)
$$\beta_1^+(C_\Phi) < 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \|\Phi\|_{\infty} < 1$$

An alternative proof was given in [21], as a consequence of a so-called "spectral radius formula", which moreover shows that:

$$\beta_1^-(C_\Phi) = \beta_1^+(C_\Phi) \,.$$

In [2], for $d \geq 2$, it is proved that, for a bounded symmetric domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$, if $\Phi: \Omega \to \Omega$ is analytic, such that $\Phi(\Omega)$ has a non-void interior, and the composition operator $C_{\Phi}: H^2(\Omega) \to H^2(\Omega)$ is compact, then:

$$\beta_d^-(C_\Phi) > 0$$

On the other hand, if Ω is a product of balls, then:

$$\|\Phi\|_{\infty} < 1 \implies \beta_d^+(C_{\Phi}) < 1.$$

We do not know whether the converse holds and the purpose of this paper is to study some examples towards an answer.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 is this short introduction, as well as some notations and definitions on singular numbers of operators and Hardy spaces of the polydisk to follow. Section 2 contains preliminary results on weighted composition operators in one variable, which surprisingly play an important role in the study of non-weighted composition operators in two variables. Section 3 studies the case of symbols with "separated" variables. Our main one variable result extends in this case. Section 4 studies the "glued case" $\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\phi(z_1), \phi(z_1))$ for which even boundedness is an issue. Here, the Bergman space $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ enters the picture. Section 5 studies the case of "triangularly separated" variables. This section lets direct Hilbertian sums of weighted composition operators in one variable appear, and it contains our main result: an example of a symbol Φ satisfying $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = 1$ and yet $\beta_2^+(C_{\Phi}) < 1$. The final Section 6 discusses the role of the Monge-Ampère pluricapacity, which is a multivariate extension of the Green capacity in the disk. Even though, as evidenced by our counterexample of Section 5, this capacity will not capture all the behavior of the parameter $\beta_m(C_{\Phi})$, some partial results are obtained, relying on theorems of S. Nivoche and V. Zakharyuta.

1.2 Notation

We denote by \mathbb{D} the open unit disk of the complex plane and by \mathbb{T} its boundary, the 1-dimensional torus.

The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is the space of holomorphic functions $f: \mathbb{D}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ whose boundary values f^* on \mathbb{T}^d are square-integrable with respect to the Haar measure m_d of \mathbb{T}^d , and normed with:

$$||f||_{2}^{2} = ||f||_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{d})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} |f^{*}(\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{d})|^{2} dm_{d}(\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{d}).$$

If $f(z_1, \ldots, z_d) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d \ge 0} a_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d} z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_d^{\alpha_d}$, then:

$$\|f\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{d} \geq 0} |a_{\alpha_{1},...,\alpha_{d}}|^{2}$$

We say that an analytic map $\Phi \colon \mathbb{D}^d \to \mathbb{D}^d$ is a symbol if its associated composition operator $C_{\Phi} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, defined by $C_{\Phi}(f) = f \circ \Phi$, is bounded.

We say that Φ is *truly d-dimensional* if $\Phi(\mathbb{D}^d)$ has a non-void interior.

We will make use of two kinds of symbols defined on \mathbb{D} .

The lens map $\lambda_{\theta} \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ is defined, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, by:

(1.2)
$$\lambda_{\theta}(z) = \frac{(1+z)^{\theta} - (1-z)^{\theta}}{(1+z)^{\theta} + (1-z)^{\theta}}$$

(see [26], p. 27, or [16], for more information), and corresponds to $u \mapsto u^{\theta}$ in the right half-plane.

The cusp map $\chi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ was first defined in [15] and in a slightly different form in [20]; we actually use here the modified form introduced in [17], and then used in [18]. We first define:

$$\chi_0(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{z-i}{iz-1}\right)^{1/2} - i}{-i\left(\frac{z-i}{iz-1}\right)^{1/2} + 1};$$

we note that $\chi_0(1) = 0$, $\chi_0(-1) = 1$, $\chi_0(i) = -i$, $\chi_0(-i) = i$, and $\chi_0(0) = \sqrt{2}-1$. Then we set:

$$\chi_1(z) = \log \chi_0(z), \quad \chi_2(z) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \chi_1(z) + 1, \quad \chi_3(z) = \frac{a}{\chi_2(z)},$$

and finally:

$$\chi(z) = 1 - \chi_3(z) \,,$$

where:

(1.3)
$$a = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \log(\sqrt{2} - 1) \in (1, 2)$$

is chosen in order that $\chi(0) = 0$. The image Ω of the (univalent) cusp map is formed by the intersection of the inside of the disk $D(1 - \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2})$ and the outside of the two disks $D(1 + \frac{ia}{2}, \frac{a}{2})$ and $D(1 - \frac{ia}{2}, \frac{a}{2})$.

Besides the approximation numbers, we need other singular numbers for an operator $S: X \to Y$ between Banach spaces X and Y.

The Bernstein numbers $b_n(S)$, $n \ge 1$, which are defined by:

(1.4)
$$b_n(S) = \sup_E \min_{x \in S_E} ||Sx||,$$

where the supremum is taken over all *n*-dimensional subspaces of X and S_E is the unit sphere of E.

The Gelfand numbers $c_n(S)$, $n \ge 1$, which are defined by:

(1.5)
$$c_n(S) = \inf\{\|S_{|M}\|; \operatorname{codim} M < n\}.$$

The Kolmogorov numbers $d_n(S)$, $n \ge 1$, which are defined by:

(1.6)
$$d_n(S) = \inf_{\dim E < n} \left[\sup_{x \in B_X} \operatorname{dist} (Sx, E) \right].$$

Pietsch showed that all *s*-numbers on Hilbert spaces are equal (see [24], \S 2, Corollary, or [25], Theorem 11.3.4); hence:

(1.7)
$$a_n(S) = b_n(S) = c_n(S) = d_n(S)$$

We denote m the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T} = \partial \mathbb{D}$. If $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$, m_{φ} is the pull-back measure on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ defined by $m_{\varphi}(E) = m[\varphi^{*-1}(E)]$, where φ^* stands for the non-tangential boundary values of φ .

The notation $A \leq B$ means that $A \leq C B$ for some positive constant C and we write $A \approx B$ if we have both $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$.

2 Preliminary results on weighted composition operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

We see in this section that the presence of a "rapidly decaying" weight allows simpler estimates for the approximation numbers of a corresponding weighted composition operator. Such a study, but a bit different, is made in [14].

Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ a non-constant analytic self-map in the disk algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ such that, for some constant C > 1 and for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$:

(2.1)
$$\varphi(1) = 1, \quad |1 - \varphi(z)| \le 1, \quad |1 - \varphi(z)| \le C (1 - |\varphi(z)|)$$

as well as $\varphi(z) \neq 1$ for $z \neq 1$. We can take for example $\varphi = \frac{1+\lambda_{\theta}}{2}$ where λ_{θ} is the lens map with parameter θ .

Let $w \in H^{\infty}$ and let T be the weighted composition operator

$$T = M_{w \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi} \colon H^2 \to H^2$$

Note that $M_{w \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi} = C_{\varphi} M_w$. We first show that:

Theorem 2.1. Let $T = M_{w \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi}$: $H^2 \to H^2$ be as above and let B be a Blaschke product with length $\langle N$. Then, with the implied constant depending only on the number C in (2.1) (and of φ):

$$a_N(T) \lesssim \sup_{|z-1| \le 1, z \in \varphi(\mathbb{D})} |B(z)| |w(z)|.$$

Proof. The following preliminary observation (see also [16], p. 809), in which we denote by $S(\xi, h) = \{z \in \mathbb{D}; |z - \xi| \le h\}$ the Carleson window with center $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ and size h, and by K_{φ} the support of the pull-back measure m_{φ} , will be useful.

(2.2)
$$u \in S(\xi, h) \cap K_{\varphi} \implies u \in S(1, Ch) \cap K_{\varphi}.$$

Indeed, if $|u - \xi| \le h$ and $u \in K_{\varphi}$, (2.1) implies:

$$1 - |u| \le |u - \xi| \le h$$
 and $|u - 1| \le C(1 - |u|) \le Ch$.

Set $E = BH^2$. This is a subspace of codimension $\langle N$. If $f = Bg \in E$, with ||g|| = ||f|| (isometric division by B in BH^2), we have $Tf = (wBg) \circ \varphi$, whence:

$$||T(f)||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |B|^2 |w|^2 |g|^2 dm_{\varphi},$$

implying $||T(f)||^2 \le ||f||^2 ||J||^2$ where $J \colon H^2 \to L^2(\sigma)$ is the natural embedding and where

$$\sigma = |B|^2 |w|^2 dm_{\varphi}$$

Now, Carleson's embedding theorem for the measure σ and (2.2) show that (the implied constants being absolute):

$$\begin{split} \|J\|^2 &\lesssim \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}, \ 0 < h < 1} \frac{1}{h} \int_{S(\xi,h) \cap K_{\varphi}} |B|^2 |w|^2 dm_{\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0 < h < 1} \frac{1}{h} \int_{S(1,Ch) \cap K_{\varphi}} |B|^2 |w|^2 dm_{\varphi} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{|z-1| \le 1, \ z \in \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}} |B(z)|^2 |w(z)|^2 \right) \left(\sup_{0 < h < 1} \frac{1}{h} \int_{S(1,Ch) \cap K_{\varphi}} dm_{\varphi} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{|z-1| \le 1, \ z \in \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})}} |B(z)|^2 |w(z)|^2 \,, \end{split}$$

since m_{φ} is a Carleson measure for H^2 and where we used that, according to (2.1):

$$K_{\varphi} \subseteq \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{D}; |z-1| \le 1\}.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1 with help of the equality of $a_N(T)$ with the Gelfand number $c_N(T)$ recalled in (1.7).

In order to specialize efficiently the general Theorem 2.1, we recall the following simple Lemma 2.3 of [16], where:

(2.3)
$$\rho(a,b) = \left| \frac{a-b}{1-\bar{a}b} \right|, \qquad a,b \in \mathbb{D},$$

is the *pseudo-hyperbolic distance*:

Lemma 2.2 ([16]). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|a-b| \leq L \min(1-|a|, 1-|b|)$. Then:

$$\rho(a,b) \le \frac{L}{\sqrt{L^2 + 1}} =: \kappa < 1.$$

We can now state:

Theorem 2.3. Assume that φ is as in (2.1) and that the weight w satisfies, for some parameters $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and R > 0:

$$|w(z)| \le \exp\left(-\frac{R}{|1-z|^{\theta}}\right), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D} \text{ with } \Re e \, z \ge 0.$$

Then, the approximation numbers of $T = M_{w \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi}$ satisfy:

$$a_{nm+1}(T) \lesssim \max\left[\exp(-an), \exp(-R 2^{m\theta})\right],$$

for all integers $n, m \ge 1$, where $a = \log[\sqrt{16C^2 + 1}/(4C)] > 0$ and C is as in (2.1).

Proof. Let $p_l = 1 - 2^{-l}$, $0 \le l < m$ and let B be the Blaschke product:

$$B(z) = \prod_{0 \le l < m} \left(\frac{z - p_l}{1 - p_l z}\right)^n$$

Let $z \in K_{\varphi} \cap \mathbb{D}$ so that $0 < |z - 1| \le 1$. Let l be the non-negative integer such that $2^{-l-1} < |z - 1| \le 2^{-l}$. We separate two cases:

Case 1: $l \ge m$. Then, the weight does the job. Indeed, majorizing |B(z)| by 1 and using the assumption on w, we get:

$$|B(z)|^2 |w(z)|^2 \le |w(z)|^2 \le \exp\left(-\frac{2R}{|1-z|^{\theta}}\right)$$
$$\le \exp(-2R 2^{l\theta}) \le \exp(-2R 2^{m\theta})$$

Case 2: l < m. Then, the Blaschke product does the job. Indeed, majorize |w(z)| by 1 and estimate $|B(z)\rangle|$ more accurately with help of Lemma 2.2; we observe that

$$|z - p_l| \le |z - 1| + 1 - p_l \le 2 \times 2^{-l} = 2(1 - p_l) \le 4C(1 - p_l)$$

and then, since $z \in K_{\varphi}$, we can write with $C \ge 1$ as in (2.1):

$$1 - |z| \ge \frac{1}{C} |1 - z| \ge \frac{1}{2C} 2^{-l} \ge \frac{1}{4C} |z - p_l|,$$

so that the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 are verified with L = 4C, giving:

$$\rho(z, p_l) \le \frac{4C}{\sqrt{16C^2 + 1}} = \exp(-a) < 1.$$

Hence, by definition, since l < m:

$$|B(z)| \le [\rho(z, p_l)]^n \le \exp(-an).$$

Putting both cases together, and observing that our Blaschke product has length nm < nm + 1, we get the result by applying Theorem 2.1 with N = nm + 1.

2.1 Some remarks

1. Twisting a composition operator by a weight may improve the compactness of this composition operator, or even may make this weighted composition operator compact though the non-weighted was not (see [8] or [14]). However, this is not possible for all symbols, as seen in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Let $w \in H^{\infty}$. If φ is inner, or more generally if $|\varphi| = 1$ on a subset of \mathbb{T} of positive measure, then $M_w C_{\varphi}$ is never compact (unless $w \equiv 0$).

Proof. Indeed, suppose $T = M_w C_{\varphi}$ compact. Since $(z^n)_n$ converges weakly to 0 in H^2 and since $T(z^n) = w \varphi^n$, we should have, since $|\varphi| = 1$ on E, with m(E) > 0:

$$\int_E |w|^2 \, dm = \int_E |w|^2 |\varphi|^{2n} \, dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |w|^2 |\varphi|^{2n} \, dm = \|T(z^n)\|^2 \mathop{\longrightarrow}_{n \to \infty} 0 \, ,$$

but this would imply that w is null a.e. on E and hence $w \equiv 0$ (see [7], Theorem 2.2), which was excluded.

Note that É. Amar and A. Lederer proved in [1] that $|\varphi| = 1$ on a set of positive measure if and only if φ is an exposed point of the unit ball of H^{∞} ; hence the following proposition can be viewed as the (almost) opposite case.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = 1$. Assume that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log(1 - |\varphi|) \, dm > -\infty$$

(meaning that φ is not an extreme point of the unit ball of H^{∞} : see [7], Theorem 7.9). Then, if w is an outer function such that $|w| = 1 - |\varphi|$, the weighted composition operator $T = M_w C_{\varphi}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Proof. We have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|T(z^n)\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1-|\varphi|)^2 |\varphi|^{2n} \, dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|\varphi|}{1+|\varphi|} \, dm < +\infty \,,$$

and T is Hilbert-Schmidt, as claimed.

2. In [14], Theorem 2.5, it is proved that we always have, for some constants $\delta, \rho > 0$:

(2.4)
$$a_n(M_w C_{\varphi}) \ge \delta \rho^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(if $w \neq 0$). We give here an alternative proof, based on a result of Gunatillake ([9]), this result holding in a wider context.

Theorem 2.6 (Gunatillake). Let $T = M_w C_{\varphi}$ be a compact weighted composition operator on H^2 and assume that φ has a fixed point $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the spectrum of T is the set:

$$\sigma(T) = \{0, w(a), w(a) \varphi'(a), w(a) [\varphi'(a)]^2, \dots, w(a) [\varphi'(a)]^n, \dots\}$$

Proof of (2.4). First observe that, in view of Proposition 2.4, φ cannot be an automorphism of \mathbb{D} so that the point *a* is the Denjoy-Wolff point of φ and is attractive. Theorem 2.6 is interesting only when $w(a) \varphi'(a) \neq 0$.

Now, we can give a new proof Theorem 2.5 of [14] as follows. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$ be such that $w(a) \varphi'(a) \neq 0$ $(H(\mathbb{D})$ is a division ring and $\varphi' \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$). Let $b = \varphi(a)$ and $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{D}$ with $\tau(b) = a$. We set:

$$\psi = \tau \circ \varphi$$
 and $S = M_w C_\psi = T C_\tau$.

This operator S is compact because T is.

Since $\psi(a) = a$ and $\psi'(a) = \tau'(b)\varphi'(a) \neq 0$, Theorem 2.6 says that the non-zero eigenvalues of S, arranged in non-increasing order, are the numbers $\lambda_n = w(a) [\psi'(a)]^{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. As a consequence of Weyl's inequalities, we know that:

$$a_1(S) a_n(S) \ge |\lambda_{2n}|^2 \ge \delta \rho^n$$

with:

$$\delta = |w(a)|^2 > 0$$
 and $\rho = |\psi'(a)|^4 > 0$.

To finish, it is enough to observe that $a_n(S) \leq a_n(T) ||C_\tau||$ by the ideal property of approximation numbers.

3 The splitted case

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Phi = (\phi, \psi) \colon \mathbb{D}^d \to \mathbb{D}^d$ be a truly d-dimensional symbol with $\phi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ depending only on z_1 and $\psi \colon \mathbb{D}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{D}^{d-1}$ only on z_2, \ldots, z_d , i.e. $\Phi(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d) = (\phi(z_1), \psi(z_2, \ldots, z_d))$. Then, whatever ψ behaves:

 $\|\phi\|_{\infty} = 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \beta_d(C_{\Phi}) = 1.$

Proof. The proof is based on the following simple lemma, certainly well-known.

Lemma 3.2. Let $S: H_1 \to H_1$ and $T: H_2 \to H_2$ be two compact linear operators, where H_1 and H_2 are Hilbert spaces. Let $S \otimes T$ be their tensor product, acting on the tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$. Then:

$$a_{mn}(S \otimes T) \ge a_m(S) a_n(T)$$

for all positive integers m, n.

We postpone the proof of the lemma and show how to conclude.

We can assume C_{Φ} to be compact, so that C_{ϕ} is compact as well. Since $\|\phi\|_{\infty} = 1$, we have, thanks to (1.1):

$$a_m(C_\phi) \ge e^{-m \varepsilon_m}$$
 with $\varepsilon_m \xrightarrow[m \to \infty]{} 0$.

Replacing ε_m by $\delta_m := \sup_{p \ge m} \varepsilon_p$, we can assume that $(\varepsilon_m)_m$ is non-increasing. Moreover,

$$m \varepsilon_m \to \infty$$

since C_{ϕ} is compact and hence $a_m(C_{\phi}) \xrightarrow[m \to \infty]{} 0$. We next observe that, due to the separation of variables in the definition of ϕ and ψ , we can write:

$$(3.1) C_{\Phi} = C_{\phi} \otimes C_{\psi}.$$

Indeed, write $z = (z_1, w)$ with $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}^{d-1}$. If $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^{d-1})$, we see that:

$$C_{\Phi}(f \otimes g)(z) = (f \otimes g)(\phi(z_1), \psi(w)) = f(\phi(z_1)) g(\psi(w))$$
$$= [C_{\phi}f(z_1)] [C_{\psi}g(w)] = (C_{\phi}f \otimes C_{\psi}g)(z).$$

Since tensor products $f \otimes g$ generate $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d) = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes H^2(D^{d-1})$, this proves (3.1).

Let now m be a large positive integer. Set ([.] stands for the integer part):

(3.2)
$$n_m = [m\varepsilon_m]^{d-1}$$
 and $N_m = m n_m$.

From what we know in dimension d-1 (see [2], Theorem 3.1) and from the preceding, we can write (observe that ψ has to be truly (d-1)-dimensional since Φ is truly d-dimensional):

$$a_m(C_\phi) \ge \exp(-m\,\varepsilon_m)$$
 and $a_n(C_\psi) \ge a\,\exp(-C\,n^{1/(d-1)})$

for some positive constant C, which will be allowed to vary from one formula to another. Lemma 3.2 implies:

$$a_{N_m}(C_{\Phi}) \ge a \exp\left[-C\left(m\varepsilon_m + n_m^{1/(d-1)}\right)\right].$$

Since $n_m \lesssim (m\varepsilon_m)^{d-1}$, we get:

$$a_{N_m}(C_\Phi) \ge a \exp(-C m \varepsilon_m)$$

Observe that $N_m = m n_m \sim m^d \varepsilon_m{}^{d-1}$ and so $N_m{}^{1/d} \sim m \varepsilon_m{}^{1-1/d}$. As a consequence:

$$a_{N_m}(C_{\Phi}) \ge a \exp(-C m \varepsilon_m) = a \exp\left[-(C \varepsilon_m^{1/d}) (m \varepsilon_m^{1-1/d})\right]$$
$$\ge a \exp(-\eta_m N_m^{1/d})$$

with $\eta_m := C \varepsilon_m^{1/d}$.

Now, for $N > N_1$, let *m* be the smallest integer satisfying $N_m \ge N$ (so that $N_{m-1} < N \le N_m$), and set $\delta_N = \eta_m$. We have $\lim_{N\to\infty} \delta_N = 0$. Next, we note that $\lim_{m\to\infty} N_m/N_{m-1} = 1$, because $N_m \ge N_{m-1}$ and:

$$\frac{N_m}{N_{m-1}} \le \frac{m}{m-1} \left(\frac{m\,\varepsilon_m + 1}{(m-1)\,\varepsilon_{m-1}}\right)^{d-1} \sim \left(\frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_{m-1}}\right)^{d-1} \le 1.$$

Finally, if N is an arbitrary integer and $N_{m-1} < N \leq N_m$, we obtain:

$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \ge a_{N_m}(C_{\Phi}) \ge a \exp(-\eta_m N_m^{1/d}) \ge a \exp(-C \,\delta_N N^{1/d})$$

since we observed that $\lim_{m\to\infty} N_m/N_{m-1} = 1$.

This amounts to say that $\beta_d(C_{\Phi}) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. It is rather formal. Start from the Schmidt decompositions of S and T respectively (recall that Hilbert spaces, the approximation numbers are equal to the singular ones):

$$S = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(S) u_m \odot v_m, \qquad T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(T) u'_n \odot v'_n,$$

where (u_m) , (v_m) are two orthonormal sequences of H_1 , (u'_n) , (v'_n) two orthonormal sequences of H_2 , and $u_m \odot v_m$ and $u'_n \odot v'_n$ denote the rank one operators defined by $(u_m \odot v_m)(x) = \langle x, v_m \rangle u_m, x \in H_1$, and $(u'_n \odot v'_n)(x) = \langle x, v'_n \rangle u'_n, x \in H_2$.

We clearly have:

$$(u_m \odot v_m) \otimes (u'_n \odot v'_n) = (u_m \otimes u'_n) \odot (v_m \otimes v'_n)$$

so that the Schmidt decomposition of $S \otimes T$ is (with SOT-convergence):

$$S \otimes T = \sum_{m,n \ge 1} a_m(S) a_n(T) (u_m \otimes u'_n) \odot (v_m \otimes v'_n),$$

since the two sequences $(u_m \otimes u'_n)_{m,n}$ and $(v_m \otimes v'_n)_{m,n}$ are orthonormal: for instance, we have by definition:

$$\langle u_{m_1} \otimes u'_{n_1}, u_{m_2} \otimes u'_{n_2} \rangle = \langle u_{m_1}, u_{m_2}, \rangle \langle u'_{n_1}, u'_{n_2} \rangle.$$

This shows that the singular values of $S \otimes T$ are the non-increasing rearrangement of the positive numbers $a_m(S) a_n(T)$ and ends the proof of the lemma: the mn numbers $a_k(S) a_l(T)$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq l \leq n$ all satisfy $a_k(S) a_l(T) \geq a_m(S) a_n(T)$, so that $a_{mn}(S \otimes T) \geq a_m(S) a_n(T)$.

4 The glued case

Here we consider symbols of the form:

(4.1)
$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\phi(z_1), \phi(z_1)),$$

where $\phi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ is a non-constant analytic map.

Note that such maps Φ are not truly 2-dimensional.

4.1 Preliminary

We begin by remarking the following fact.

Let $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ be the Bergman space of all analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$|f||_{B^2}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dA(z) < \infty \,,$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} .

Proposition 4.1. Assume that the composition operator C_{ϕ} maps boundedly $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ into $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then $C_{\Phi} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, defined by (4.1), is bounded.

Proof. If we write $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ as:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} z_1^j z_2^k$$
, with $\sum_{j,k \ge 0} |c_{j,k}|^2 = ||f||_{H^2}^2$,

we formally (or assuming that f is a polynomial) have:

$$[C_{\Phi}f](z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} [\phi(z_1)]^j [\phi(z_1)]^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j+k=n} c_{j,k}\right) [\phi(z_1)]^n.$$

Hence, if we set $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j+k=n} c_{j,k} \right) z^n$, we get:

$$[C_{\Phi}(f)](z_1, z_2) = [C_{\phi}(g)](z_1),$$

so that, by integrating:

$$\|C_{\Phi}(f)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{2})} = \|C_{\phi}(g)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D})}.$$

By hypothesis, there is a positive constant M such that:

$$||C_{\phi}(g)||_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq M ||g||_{B^{2}(\mathbb{D})}.$$

But, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{split} \|g\|_{B^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left| \sum_{j+k=n} c_{j,k} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j+k=n} |c_{j,k}|^2 \right) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} |c_{j,k}|^2 = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}^2 \,, \end{split}$$

and we obtain $||C_{\Phi}(f)||_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)} \leq M ||f||_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}$.

4.2 Lens maps

Let λ_{θ} be a lens map of parameter θ , $0 < \theta < 1$. We consider $\Phi_{\theta} \colon \mathbb{D}^2 \to \mathbb{D}^2$ defined by:

(4.2)
$$\Phi_{\theta}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\lambda_{\theta}(z_1), \lambda_{\theta}(z_1)\right).$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. The composition operator $C_{\Phi_{\theta}} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is:

- 1) not bounded for $\theta > 1/2$;
- 2) bounded, but not compact for $\theta = 1/2$;
- 3) compact, and even Hilbert-Schmidt, for $0 < \theta < 1/2$.

Proof. The reproducing kernel of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is, for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{D}^2$:

(4.3)
$$K_{a,b}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z_1} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{b}z_2}, \qquad (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2,$$

and:

$$|K_{a,b}||^2 = \frac{1}{(1-|a|^2)(1-|b|^2)}$$

1) If $C_{\Phi_{\theta}}$ were bounded, we should have, for some $M < \infty$:

$$\|C^*_{\Phi_{\theta}}(K_{a,b})\|_{H^2} \le M \|K_{a,b}\|_{H^2}$$
, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$.

Since $C^*_{\Phi_{\theta}}(K_{a,b}) = K_{\Phi_{\theta}(a,b)} = K_{\lambda_{\theta}(a),\lambda_{\theta}(a)}$, we would get, with b = 0:

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-|\lambda_{\theta}(a)|^2}\right)^2 \le M^2 \frac{1}{1-|a|^2};$$

but this is not possible for $\theta > 1/2$, since $1 - |\lambda_{\theta}(a)|^2 \approx 1 - |\lambda_{\theta}(a)| \sim (1 - a)^{\theta}$ when a goes to 1, with 0 < a < 1.

For 2) and 3), let us consider the pull-back measure m_{θ} of the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T} = \partial \mathbb{D}$ by λ_{θ} . It is easy to see that:

(4.4)
$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} m_{\theta} [D(\xi, h) \cap \mathbb{D})] = m_{\theta} [D(1, h) \cap \mathbb{D}] \approx h^{1/\theta}.$$

In particular, for $\theta \leq 1/2$, m_{θ} is a 2-Carleson measure, and hence (see [15], Theorem 2.1, for example) the canonical injection $j: B^2(\mathbb{D}) \to L^2(m_{\theta})$ is bounded, meaning that, for some positive constant $M < \infty$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dm_{\theta}(z) \le M^2 ||f||_{B^2}^2 \, dm_{\theta}(z) = M^2 ||f||_{B^2}^2 \, dm_{\theta}(z) \le M^2 ||f||_{B^2}^2 \, dm_{\theta}(z$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dm_{\theta}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f[\lambda_{\theta}(u)]|^2 \, dm(u) = \|C_{\lambda_{\theta}}(f)\|_{H^2}^2 \,,$$

we get that $C_{\lambda_{\theta}}$ maps boundedly $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ into $H^2(\mathbb{D})$.

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that $C_{\Phi_{\theta}} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is bounded.

However, $C_{\Phi_{1/2}}$ is not compact since $C^*_{\Phi_{1/2}}(K_{a,b})/||K_{a,b}||$ does not converge to 0 as $a, b \to 1$, by the calculations made in 1).

For 3), let $e_{j,k}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^j z_2^k$, $j, k \ge 0$, be the canonical orthonormal basis of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$; we have $[C_{\phi_\theta}(e_{j,k})](z_1, z_2) = [\lambda_\theta(z_1)]^{j+k}$. Hence:

$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0} \|C_{\phi_{\theta}}(e_{j,k})\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{2})}^{2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\lambda_{\theta}|^{2n} \, dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2}{(1-|\lambda_{\theta}|^{2})^{2}} \, dm \, .$$

Since, by Lemma 4.3 below, $1 - |\lambda_{\theta}(e^{it})|^2 \gtrsim |1 - e^{it}|^{\theta} \geq t^{\theta}$ for $|t| \leq \pi/2$, we get:

$$\sum_{j,k\geq 0} \|C_{\phi_{\theta}}(e_{j,k})\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)}^2 \lesssim \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{t^{2\theta}} < \infty,$$

since $\theta < 1/2$. Therefore $C_{\phi_{\theta}}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt for $\theta < 1/2$.

For sake of completeness, we recall the following elementary fact (see [26], p. 28, or also [16], Lemma 2.5)).

Lemma 4.3. With $\delta = \cos(\theta \pi/2)$, we have, for $|z| \le 1$ and $\Re e z \ge 0$:

$$1 - |\lambda_{\theta}(z)|^2 \ge \frac{\delta}{2} |1 - z|^{\theta}.$$

Proof. We can write:

$$\lambda_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1-w}{1+w}$$
 with $w = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^{\theta}$ and $|w| \le 1$.

Then:

$$\Re \mathbf{e} \, w \ge \delta \, |w| \ge \frac{\delta}{2} |1 - z|^{\theta} \, .$$

Hence:

$$1 - |\lambda_{\theta}(z)|^{2} = \frac{4 \operatorname{\Re e} w}{|1 + w|^{2}} \ge \delta |w| \ge \frac{\delta}{2} |1 - z|^{\theta},$$

as announced

We now improve the result 3) of Theorem 4.2 by estimating the approximation numbers of $C_{\Phi_{\theta}}$ and get that $C_{\Phi_{\theta}}$ is in all Schatten classes of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ when $\theta < 1/2$.

Theorem 4.4. For $0 < \theta < 1/2$, there exists $b = b_{\theta} > 0$ such that:

(4.5)
$$a_n(C_{\Phi_\theta}) \lesssim e^{-b\sqrt{n}}$$

In particular $\beta_2^+(C_{\Phi_\theta}) \leq e^{-b} < 1$, though $\|\Phi_\theta\|_{\infty} = 1$, and even $\Phi_\theta(\mathbb{T}^2) \cap \mathbb{T}^2 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Proposition 4.1 (and its proof) can be rephrased in the following way: if C_{ϕ} maps boundedly $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ into $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, then, we have the following factorization:

(4.6)
$$C_{\Phi} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \xrightarrow{J} B^2(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{C_{\phi}} H^2(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{I} H^2(\mathbb{D}^2),$$

where $I: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is the canonical injection given by $(If)(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1)$ for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, and $J: H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to B^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the contractive map defined by:

$$(Jf)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j+k=n} c_{j,k}\right) z^n,$$

for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ with $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} z_1^j z_2^k$.

In the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have seen that, for $0 < \theta \leq 1/2$, the composition operator $C_{\lambda_{\theta}}$ is bounded from $B^2(\mathbb{D})$ into $H^2(\mathbb{D})$; we get hence the factorization:

$$C_{\Phi_{\theta}} \colon H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{2}) \xrightarrow{J} B^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{C_{\lambda_{\theta}}} H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{I} H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{2}) \,,$$

Now, the lens maps have a semi-group property:

(4.7)
$$\lambda_{\theta_1\theta_2} = \lambda_{\theta_1}\lambda_{\theta_2}$$

giving $C_{\lambda_{\theta_1}\theta_2} = C_{\lambda_{\theta_1}} \circ C_{\lambda_{\theta_2}}.$

For $0 < \theta < 1/2$, we therefore can write $C_{\lambda_{\theta}} = C_{\lambda_{2\theta}} \circ C_{\lambda_{1/2}}$ (note that $2\theta < 1$, so $C_{\lambda_{2\theta}} : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded), and we get:

$$C_{\Phi_{\theta}} = I C_{\lambda_{2\theta}} C_{\lambda_{1/2}} J.$$

Consequently:

$$a_n(C_{\Phi_\theta}) \le ||I|| ||J|| ||C_{\lambda_{1/2}}||_{B^2 \to H^2} a_n(C_{\lambda_{2\theta}}).$$

Now, we know ([16], Theorem 2.1) that $a_n(C_{\lambda_{2\theta}}) \lesssim e^{-b\sqrt{n}}$, so we get that $a_n(C_{\Phi_\theta}) \lesssim e^{-b\sqrt{n}}$.

Remark. In [2], we saw that for a truly 2-dimensional symbol Φ , we have $\beta_2^-(C_{\phi}) > 0$. Here the symbol Φ_{θ} is not truly 2-dimensional, but we nevertheless have $\beta_2(C_{\Phi_{\theta}}) > 0$. In fact, let $E = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2); \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_2} \equiv 0\}$; E is isometrically isomorphic to $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and the restriction of $C_{\Phi_{\theta}}$ to E behaves as the 1-dimensional composition operator $C_{\lambda_{\theta}} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$; hence ([19], Proposition 6.3):

$$e^{-b_0\sqrt{n}} \lesssim a_n(C_{\lambda_\theta}) = a_n(C_{\Phi_\theta|E}) \le a_n(C_{\Phi_\theta}),$$

and $\beta_2^-(C_{\Phi_{\theta}}) \ge e^{-b_0} > 0.$

5 Triangularly separated variables

In this section, we consider symbols of the form:

(5.1)
$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\phi(z_1), \psi(z_1) z_2)$$

where $\phi, \psi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ are non-constant analytic maps.

Such maps Φ are truly 2-dimensional.

More generally, if $h \in H^{\infty}$, with h(0) = 0 and $||h||_{\infty} \leq 1$, has its powers h^k , $k \geq 0$, orthogonal in H^2 (for convenience, we shall say that h is a *Rudin* function), we can consider:

(5.2)
$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \left(\phi(z_1), \psi(z_1) h(z_2)\right)$$

For such h we can take for example an inner function vanishing at the origin, but there are other such functions, as shown by C. Bishop:

Theorem (Bishop [4]). The function h is a Rudin function if and only if the pull-back measure $\mu = \mu_h$ is radial and Jensen, i.e for every Borel set E:

$$\mu(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta}E) = \mu(E) \quad and \quad \int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \log(1/|z|) \, d\mu(z) < \infty$$

Conversely, for every probability measure μ supported by $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, which is radial and Jensen, there exists h in the unit ball of H^{∞} , with h(0) = 0, such that $\mu = \mu_h$.

If we take for μ the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{T} , we get an inner function. But, as remarked in [4], we can take for μ the Lebesgue measure on the union $\mathbb{T} \cup (1/2)\mathbb{T}$, normalized in order that $\mu(T) = \mu((1/2)\mathbb{T}) = 1/2$. Then the corresponding his not inner since |h| = 1/2 on a subset of \mathbb{T} of positive measure. He also showed that h(z)/z may be a non-constant outer function. Also, P. Bourdon ([6]) showed that the powers of h are orthogonal if and only if its Nevanlinna counting function is almost everywhere constant on each circle centered on the origin.

5.1 General facts

We first observe that if $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ and:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} \, z_1^j z_2^k \,,$$

then we can write:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \left(\sum_{k \ge 0} f_k(z_1)\right) z_2^k$$

with:

$$f_k(z_1) = \sum_{j \ge 0} c_{j,k} \, z_1^j \,,$$

and:

$$||f||^{2}_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D}^{2})} = \sum_{j,k\geq 0} |c_{j,k}|^{2} = \sum_{k\geq 0} ||f_{k}||^{2}_{H^{2}(\mathbb{D})}.$$

That means that we have an isometric isomorphism:

$$J: H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

defined by $Jf = (f_k)_{k \ge 0}$.

Now, for symbols Φ as in (5.1), we have:

$$(C_{\Phi}f)(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} \, [\phi(z_1)]^j [\psi(z_1)]^k z_2^k \,,$$

so that $J C_{\Phi} J^{-1}$ appears as the operator $\bigoplus_k M_{\psi^k} C_{\phi}$ on $\bigoplus_k H^2(\mathbb{D})$, where M_{ψ^k} is the multiplication operator by ψ^k :

$$[(M_{\psi^k} C_{\phi}) f_k](z_1) = [\psi(z_1)]^k [(f_k \circ \phi)(z_1)]$$

When Φ is as in (5.2), we have:

$$(C_{\Phi}f)(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j,k \ge 0} c_{j,k} \, [\phi(z_1)]^j [\psi(z_1)]^k [h(z_2)]^k \,,$$

with:

$$||C_{\Phi}f||^2 \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ||T_k f_k||^2$$

and:

$$T_k = M_{\psi^k} C_\phi \,;$$

hence $J C_{\Phi} J^{-1}$ appears as pointwise dominated by the operator $T = \bigoplus_k T_k$ on $\bigoplus_k H^2(\mathbb{D})$. This implies a factorization $C_{\Phi} = AT$ with $||A|| \leq 1$, so that $a_n(C_{\Phi}) \leq a_n(T)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

We recall the following elementary fact.

Lemma 5.1. Let $(H_k)_{k\geq 0}$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces and $T_k: H_k \to H_k$ be bounded operators. Let $H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$ and $T: H \to H$ defined by $Tx = (T_k x_k)_k$. Then:

- 1) T is bounded on H if and only if $\sup_k ||T_k|| < \infty$;
- 2) T is compact on H if and only if each T_k is compact and $||T_k|| \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

Going back to the symbols of the form (5.1), we have $||M_{\psi^k}|| \leq ||\psi^k||_{\infty} \leq 1$, since $||\psi||_{\infty} \leq 1$; hence $||M_{\psi^k}C_{\phi}|| \leq ||C_{\phi}||$ and the operator $(M_{\psi^k}C_{\phi})_k$ is bounded on $\bigoplus_k H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore C_{Φ} is bounded on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

For approximation numbers, we have the following two facts.

Lemma 5.2. Let $T_k: H_k \to H_k$ be bounded linear operators between Hilbert spaces H_k , $k \ge 0$. Let $H = \bigoplus_k H_k$ and $T = (T_k)_k: H \to H$, assumed to be compact. Then, for every $n_1, \ldots, n_K \ge 1$, and $0 \le m_1 < \cdots < m_K$, $K \ge 1$, we have:

(5.3)
$$a_N(T) \ge \inf_{1 \le k \le K} a_{n_k}(T_{m_k}),$$

where $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_K$.

Proof. We use the Bernstein numbers b_n (see (1.4)), which are equal to the approximation numbers (see (1.7)).

For k = 1, ..., K, there is an n_k -dimensional subspace E_k of H_{m_k} such that:

$$b_{n_k}(T_{m_k}) \le ||T_{m_k}x||$$
, for all $x \in S_{E_k}$.

Then $E = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} E_k$ is an N-dimensional subspace of H and for every $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in E$, we have:

$$\|Tx\|^{2} = \sum_{k \leq K} \|T_{m_{k}}x_{m_{k}}\|^{2} \geq \sum_{k \leq K} [b_{n_{k}}(T_{m_{k}})]^{2} \|x_{m_{k}}\|^{2}$$
$$\geq \inf_{k \leq K} [b_{n_{k}}(T_{m_{k}})]^{2} \sum_{k \leq K} \|x_{m_{k}}\|^{2} = \inf_{k \leq K} [b_{n_{k}}(T_{m_{k}})]^{2} \|x\|^{2};$$

hence $b_N(T) \ge \inf_{k \le K} b_{n_k}(T_{m_k})$, and we get the announced result.

Lemma 5.3. Let $T = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k$ acting on a Hilbertian sum $H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$. Let n_0, \ldots, n_K be positive integers and $N = n_0 + \cdots + n_K - K$. Then, the approximation numbers of T satisfy:

(5.4)
$$a_N(T) \le \max\left(\max_{0\le k\le K} a_{n_k}(T_k), \sup_{k>K} \|T_k\|\right).$$

Proof. Denote by S the right-hand side of (5.4). Let R_k , $0 \le k \le K$ be operators on H_k of respective rank $< n_k$ such that $||T_k - R_k|| = a_{n_k}(T_k)$ and let $R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{K} R_k$. Then R is an operator of rank $\le n_0 + \cdots + n_K - K - 1 < N$. If $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \in H$, we see that:

$$\|Tf - Rf\|^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \|T_{k}f_{k} - R_{k}f_{k}\|^{2} + \sum_{k>K} \|T_{k}f_{k}\|^{2}$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{n_{k}}(T_{k})^{2} \|f_{k}\|^{2} + \sum_{k>K} \|T_{k}f_{k}\|^{2} \leq S^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f_{k}\|^{2} = S^{2} \|f\|^{2},$$

hence the result.

We give now two corollaries of Lemma 5.3.

Example 1. We first use lens maps. We get:

Theorem 5.4. Let λ_{θ} the lens map of parameter θ and let $\psi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ such that $\|\psi\|_{\infty} := c < 1$ and h a Rudin function. We consider:

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \left(\lambda_\theta(z_1), \psi(z_1) h(z_2)\right).$$

Then, for some positive constant β , we have, for all $N \ge 1$:

$$(5.5) a_N(C_\Phi) \lesssim e^{-\beta N^{1/3}}$$

Proof. Let $T_k = M_{\psi^k} C_{\lambda_\theta}$. We have $||T_k|| \le c^k$, so $\sup_{k>K} ||T_k|| \le c^K$. On the other hand, we have $a_n(T_k) \le c^k a_n(C_{\lambda_\theta}) \le a_n(C_{\lambda_\theta}) \le e^{-\beta_\theta \sqrt{n}}$ ([16], Theorem 2.1). Taking $n_0 = n_1 = \cdots = n_K = K^2$ in Lemma 5.3, we get:

$$\max_{0 \le k \le K} a_{n_k}(T_k) \lesssim e^{-\beta_\theta K}$$

Since $n_0 + \cdots + n_K - K \approx K^3$, we obtain $a_{K^3} \lesssim e^{-\beta K}$, which gives the claimed result, by taking $\beta = \max(\beta_{\theta}, \log(1/c))$.

Example 2. We consider the cusp map χ . We have:

Theorem 5.5. Let χ be the cusp map, h a Rudin function, and ψ in the unit ball of H^{∞} , with $\|\psi\|_{\infty} := c < 1$. Let:

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \left(\chi(z_1), \psi(z_1) \, h(z_2)\right).$$

Then, for positive constant β , we have, for all $N \ge 1$:

$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\sqrt{N}/\sqrt{\log N}}$$
.

Proof. Let $T_k = M_{\psi^k} C_{\chi}$. As above, we have $\sup_{k>K} ||T_k|| \leq c^K$. For the cusp map, we have $a_n(C_{\chi}) \leq e^{-\alpha n/\log n}$ ([20], Theorem 4.3); hence $a_n(T_k) \leq e^{-\alpha n/\log n}$. We take $n_0 = n_1 = \cdots = n_K = K [\log K]$ (where $[\log K]$ is the integer part of $\log K$). Since $n_0 + \cdots + n_K \approx K^2 [\log K]$, we get, for another $\alpha > 0$:

$$a_{K^2[\log K]}(C_\Phi) \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha K},$$

which reads: $a_N(C_{\Phi}) \lesssim e^{-\beta \sqrt{N/\log N}}$, as claimed.

5.2 Lower bounds

In this subsection, we give lower bounds for approximation numbers of composition operators on H^2 of the bidisk, attached to a symbol Φ of the previous form $\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\phi(z_1), \psi(z_1) h(z_2))$ where h is a Rudin function. The sharpness of those estimates will be discussed in the next subsection. We first need some lemmas in dimension one.

Lemma 5.6. Let $u, v: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be two non-constant analytic self-maps and $T = M_v C_u: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be the associated weighted composition operator. For 0 < r < 1, we set $A = u(r\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $\Gamma = \exp(-1/\operatorname{Cap}(A))$. Then, for $0 < \delta \leq \inf_{|z|=r} |v(z)|$, we have:

(5.6)
$$a_n(T) \gtrsim \sqrt{1-r} \,\delta\,\Gamma^n\,.$$

In this lemma, Cap (A) denotes the Green capacity of the compact subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ (see [21], § 2.3 for the definition).

For the proof, we need the following result ([27], Theorem 7, p. 353).

Theorem 5.7 (Widom). Let A be a compact subset of \mathbb{D} and $\mathcal{C}(A)$ be the space of continuous functions on A with its natural norm. Set:

$$\tilde{d}_n(A) = \inf_E \left[\sup_{f \in B_{H^{\infty}}} \operatorname{dist} (f, E) \right],$$

where E runs over all (n-1)-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{C}(A)$ and dist $(f, E) = \inf_{h \in E} ||f - h||_{\mathcal{C}(A)}$. Then

(5.7)
$$\tilde{d}_n(A) \ge \alpha \,\mathrm{e}^{-n/\mathrm{Cap}\,(A)}$$

for some positive constant α .

Proof of Lemma 5.6. We apply Theorem 5.7 to the compact set $A = u(r \overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Let E be an (n-1)-dimensional subspace of $H^2 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$; it can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathcal{C}(A)$, so, by Theorem 5.7, there exists $f \in H^{\infty} \subseteq H^2$ with $\|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ such that:

$$||f - h||_{\mathcal{C}(A)} \ge \alpha \Gamma^n, \quad \forall h \in E.$$

Then:

$$\|v(f \circ u - h \circ u)\|_{\mathcal{C}(r\mathbb{T})} \ge \delta \|(f - h) \circ u\|_{\mathcal{C}(r\mathbb{T})} = \delta \|f - h\|_{\mathcal{C}(A)} \ge \alpha \,\delta \,\Gamma^n \,.$$

But:

$$\|v(f \circ u - h \circ u)\|_{\mathcal{C}(r\mathbb{T})} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} \|v(f \circ u - h \circ u)\|_{H^2};$$

Hence:

$$\|Tf - Th\|_{H^2} \ge \alpha \sqrt{1 - r^2} \,\delta \,\Gamma^n \ge \alpha \sqrt{1 - r} \,\delta \,\Gamma^n \,.$$

Since h is an arbitrary function of E, we get $(B_{H^2}$ being the unit ball of H^2):

$$\inf_{\dim E < n} \left[\sup_{f \in B_{H^2}} \operatorname{dist} \left(Tf, T(E) \right) \right] \ge \alpha \sqrt{1 - r} \, \delta \, \Gamma^n \, .$$

But the left-hand side is equal to the Kolmogorov number $d_n(T)$ of T (see [21], Lemma 3.12), and, as recalled in (1.7), in Hilbert spaces, the Kolmogorov numbers are equal to the approximation numbers; hence we obtain:

(5.8)
$$a_n(T) \ge \alpha \sqrt{1-r} \,\delta \,\Gamma^n \,, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \,,$$

as announced.

The next lemma shows that some Blaschke products are far away from 0 on some circles centered at 0.

We consider a strongly interpolating sequence $(z_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of \mathbb{D} in the sense that, if $\varepsilon_j := 1 - |z_j|$, then:

(5.9)
$$\varepsilon_{j+1} \le \sigma \varepsilon_j$$

and so $\varepsilon_j \leq \sigma^{j-1}\varepsilon_1$, where $0 < \sigma < 1$ is fixed. Equivalently, the sequence $(|z_j|)_{j\geq 1}$ is interpolating. We consider the corresponding interpolating Blaschke product:

(5.10)
$$B(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_j|}{z_j} \frac{z_j - z}{1 - z_j z}$$

The following lemma is probably well-known, but we could find no satisfactory reference (see yet [10] for related estimates) and provide a simple proof.

.

Lemma 5.8. Let $(z_j)_{j\geq 1}$ be a strongly interpolating sequence as in (5.9) and B the associated Blaschke product (5.10).

Then there exists a sequence $r_l := 1 - \rho_l$ such that:

(5.11)
$$C_1 \,\sigma^l \le \rho_l \le C_2 \,\sigma^l \,,$$

where C_1 , C_2 are positive constants, and for which:

$$(5.12) |z| = r_l \implies |B(z)| \ge \delta,$$

where $\delta > 0$ does not depend on l.

Proof. Let us denote by $p_l, 1 \le p_l \le l$, the biggest integer such that $\varepsilon_{p_l} \ge \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1$. We separate two cases.

Case 1: $\varepsilon_{p_l} \ge 2 \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1$. Then, we choose $\rho_l = \alpha \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1$ with α fixed, $1 < \alpha < 2$. Since $\rho(\xi, \zeta) \ge$ $\rho(|\xi|, |\zeta|)$ for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ (recall that ρ is the pseudo-hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{D}), we have the following lower bound for $|z| = r_l$:

$$|B(z)| = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(z, z_j) \ge \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(r_l, |z_j|) = \prod_{j \le p_l} \rho(r_l, |z_j|) \times \prod_{j > p_l} \rho(r_l, |z_j|) := P_1 \times P_2$$

and we estimate P_1 and P_2 separately. We first observe that $\frac{\rho_l}{\varepsilon_{p_l}} \leq \frac{\alpha \, \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1}{2 \, \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$, and then:

$$\frac{\rho_l}{\varepsilon_j} = \frac{\rho_l}{\varepsilon_{p_l}} \frac{\varepsilon_{p_l}}{\varepsilon_j} \le \frac{\alpha}{2} \, \sigma^{p_l - j}$$

The inequality $\rho(1-u, 1-v) \ge \frac{|u-v|}{(u+v)}$ for $0 < u, v \le 1$ now gives us:

(5.13)
$$\rho(r_l, |z_j|) \ge \frac{\varepsilon_j - \rho_l}{\varepsilon_j + \rho_l} = \frac{1 - \rho_l/\varepsilon_j}{1 + \rho_l/\varepsilon_j} \ge \frac{1 - (\alpha/2) \, \sigma^{p_l - j}}{1 + (\alpha/2) \, \sigma^{p_l - j}}, \quad \text{for } j \le p_l \,,$$

and:

(5.14)
$$P_1 \ge \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - (\alpha/2) \, \sigma^k}{1 + (\alpha/2) \, \sigma^k} \right).$$

Similarly:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{p_l+1}}{\rho_l} \le \frac{\sigma^{l-1}\varepsilon_1}{\alpha\,\sigma^{l-1}\varepsilon_1} \le \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

and:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\rho_l} \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \, \sigma^{j-p_l-1} \quad \text{for } j > p_l \,;$$

so that:

(5.15)
$$\rho(r_l, |z_j|) \ge \frac{\rho_l - \varepsilon_j}{\rho_l + \varepsilon_j} = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_j / \rho_l}{1 + \varepsilon_j / \rho_l} \ge \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1} \sigma^{j - p_l - 1}}{1 + \alpha^{-1} \sigma^{j - p_l - 1}}, \quad \text{for } j > p_l,$$

and

(5.16)
$$P_2 \ge \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha^{-1} \sigma^k}{1 + \alpha^{-1} \sigma^k} \right).$$

Finally, the condition of lower and upper bound for ρ_l is fulfilled by construction.

Case 2: $\varepsilon_{p_l} \leq 2 \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1$.

Then, we choose $\rho_l = a \varepsilon_{p_l}$ with $\sigma < a < 1$ fixed. Computations exactly similar to those of Case 1 give us:

(5.17)
$$|B(z)| \ge \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-a\sigma^k}{1+a\sigma^k}\right) \times \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-a^{-1}\sigma^k}{1+a^{-1}\sigma^k}\right) =: \delta > 0, \text{ for } |z| = r_l.$$

Moreover, in this case:

$$a \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1 \le \rho_l \le 2 a \sigma^{l-1} \varepsilon_1$$

and the proof is ended.

Now, we have the following estimation.

Theorem 5.9. Let $\phi, \psi \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be two non-constant analytic self-maps and $\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\phi(z_1), \psi(z_1) h(z_2))$, where h is inner.

Let $(r_l)_{l\geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers with limit 1 such that:

$$\inf_{|z|=r_l} |\psi(z)| \ge \delta_l > 0 \,,$$

with $\delta_l \leq e^{-1/\operatorname{Cap}(A_l)}$, where $A_l = \phi(r_l \overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Then the approximation numbers $a_N(C_{\Phi})$, $N \ge 1$, of the composition operator $C_{\Phi}: H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ satisfy:

(5.18)
$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \gtrsim \sup_{l \ge 1} \left[\sqrt{1 - r_l} \exp\left(-8\sqrt{N}\sqrt{\log(1/\delta_l)}\sqrt{\log(1/\Gamma_l)}\right) \right],$$

where:

(5.19)
$$\Gamma_l = e^{-1/\operatorname{Cap}\left(A_l\right)}$$

Proof. Since h is inner, the sequence $(h^k)_{k\geq 0}$ is orthonormal in H^2 and hence $a_n(C_{\Phi}) = a_n(T)$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $T = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k$ and $T_k = M_{\psi^k} C_{\phi}$. Then Lemma 5.6 gives:

(5.20)
$$a_n(T_k) \gtrsim \sqrt{1 - r_l} \,\delta_l^k \Gamma_l^n$$

for all $n \ge 1$ and all $k \ge 0$. Let now:

(5.21)
$$p_l = \left[\frac{\log(1/\delta_l)}{\log(1/\Gamma_l)}\right],$$

where [.] stands for the integer part, and:

(5.22)
$$n_k = p_l k$$
, for $k = 1, ..., K$.

By Lemma 5.2, applied with $m_k = k$ (i.e. to H_1, \ldots, H_K), we have, if $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_K$:

$$a_N(T) \ge \inf_{1 \le k \le K} \alpha \sqrt{1 - r_l} \, \delta_l^k \, \Gamma_l^n = \alpha \sqrt{1 - r_l} \, \delta_l^K \, \Gamma_l^{n_K} \, .$$

But, since $p_l \leq \log(1/\delta_l) / \log(1/\Gamma_l)$:

$$\delta_l^K \Gamma_l^{n_K} = \exp\left[-\left(K \log(1/\delta_l) + p_l K \log(1/\Gamma_l)\right)\right] \ge \exp\left[-2K \log(1/\delta_l)\right].$$

Since:

$$N = p_l \frac{K(K+1)}{2} \ge p_l \frac{K^2}{4} \ge \frac{K^2}{16} \frac{\log(1/\delta_l)}{\log(1/\Gamma_l)},$$

we get:

$$\delta_l^K \Gamma_l^{n_K} \ge \exp\left[-8\sqrt{N}\sqrt{\log(1/\delta_l)}\sqrt{\log(1/\Gamma_l)}\right],$$

and the result ensues.

Example 1. We take $\phi = \lambda_{\theta}$, a lens map, and $\psi = B$, a Blaschke product associated to a strongly regular sequence, as defined in (5.10); then we get:

Theorem 5.10. Let $\Phi \colon \mathbb{D}^2 \to \mathbb{D}^2$ be defined by:

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \left(\lambda_\theta(z_1), c B(z_1) h(z_2)\right),$$

where B is a Blaschke product as in (5.10), 0 < c < 1, and h is an arbitrary inner function, we have, for some positive constant b, for all $N \ge 1$:

(5.23)
$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \gtrsim \exp(-b N^{1/3}) = \exp(-b \sqrt{N}/N^{1/6}).$$

In particular $\beta_2(C_{\Phi}) = \beta_2^{\pm}(C_{\Phi}) = 1.$

Remark. We saw in Theorem 5.4 that this is the exact size, since we have: $a_N(C_{\Phi}) \leq e^{-\beta N^{1/3}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.8, there is a sequence of numbers $r_l \approx \sigma^l$ such that $|B(z)| \geq \delta$ for $|z| = r_l$, where δ is a positive constant (depending on σ). Since $\lambda_{\theta}(0) = 0$, we have:

$$\operatorname{diam}_{\rho}(A_l) \ge \lambda_{\theta}(r_l) \gtrsim 1 - (1 - r_l)^{\theta};$$

hence, by [21], Theorem 3.13, we have:

$$\operatorname{Cap}(A_l) \gtrsim \log \frac{1}{1-r_l} \gtrsim l$$
,

or, equivalently: $\Gamma_l \ge e^{-b/l}$, some some b > 0. Then (5.18) gives, for all $l \ge 1$ (with another b):

$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \gtrsim \exp\left[-b\left(l + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{l}}\right)\right]$$

Taking $l = N^{1/3}$, we get the result.

Example 2. By taking the cusp instead of a lens map, we obtain a better result, close to the extremal one.

Theorem 5.11. Let $\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\chi(z_1), c B(z_1) h(z_2))$, where χ is the cusp map, B a Blaschke product as in (5.10), 0 < c < 1, and h an arbitrary inner function. Then, for all $N \ge 1$:

$$a_N(C_\Phi) \gtrsim \mathrm{e}^{-b\sqrt{N}/\sqrt{\log N}}$$
.

In particular $\beta_2(C_{\Phi}) = 1$.

Remark. We saw in Theorem 5.5 that this is the exact size, since we have: $a_N(C_{\phi}) \leq e^{-\beta \sqrt{N/\log N}}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 5.10, except that, for the cusp map, we have (note that $\chi(0) = 0$):

$$\operatorname{diam}_{\rho}(A_l) \ge \chi(r_l) \,.$$

But when r goes to 1:

$$1 - \chi(r) \sim \frac{\pi \left(\sqrt{2} - 1\right)}{2} \frac{1}{\log \left(1/(1 - r)\right)}$$

(see [20], Lemma 4.2). Hence, by [21], Theorem 3.13, again, we have:

$$\operatorname{Cap}(A_l) \gtrsim \log\left(\log\left(1/(1-r_l)\right)\right),$$

so $\Gamma_l \geq e^{-b/\log l}$. Then, (5.18) gives (with another b):

$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) \gtrsim \exp\left[-b\left(l + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{\log l}}\right)\right].$$

In taking $l = \sqrt{N/\log N}$, we get the announced result.

5.3 Upper bounds

All previous results point in the direction that, if $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = 1$, then however small $a_n(C_{\Phi})$ is, it will always be larger than $\alpha e^{-\beta \varepsilon_n \sqrt{n}}$ with $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$, as this is the case in dimension one (with *n* instead of \sqrt{n}). But Theorem 5.12 to follow shows that we cannot hope, in full generality, to get the same result in dimension $d \ge 2$, and that other phenomena await to be understood. Here is our main result. It shows that, even for a truly 2-dimensional symbol Φ , we can have $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = 1$ and nevertheless $\beta_2^+(C_{\Phi}) < 1$, in contrast to the 1-dimensional case where (1.1) holds.

Theorem 5.12. There exist a map $\Phi \colon \mathbb{D}^2 \to \mathbb{D}^2$ such that:

1) the composition operator $C_{\Phi} \colon H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \to H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ is bounded and compact;

2) we have $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = 1$ and Φ is truly 2-dimensional, so that $\beta_2^-(C_{\Phi}) > 0$;

3) the singular numbers satisfy $a_n(C_{\Phi}) \leq \alpha e^{-\beta \sqrt{n}}$ for some positive constants α, β ; in particular $\beta_2^+(C_{\Phi}) < 1$.

Proof. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be fixed, and λ_{θ} be the corresponding lens map. We set:

$$\begin{cases} \phi = \frac{1+\lambda_{\theta}}{2} \\ w(z) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\theta}\right] \\ \psi = w \circ \phi \,. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\|\phi\|_{\infty} = 1$.

Setting $\delta = \cos(\theta \pi/2) > 0$, we have for $z \in \mathbb{D}$:

(5.24)
$$|1 - \phi(z)| = \frac{1}{2} |1 - \lambda_{\theta}(z)| = \left| \frac{(1 - z)^{\theta}}{(1 - z)^{\theta} + (1 + z)^{\theta}} \right| \le \frac{|1 - z|^{\theta}}{\delta} .$$

Indeed, the argument α of $(1 \pm z)^{\theta}$ satisfies $|\alpha| \leq \theta \pi/2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and we get:

$$|(1-z)^{\theta} + (1+z)^{\theta}| \ge \Re e \left[(1-z)^{\theta} + (1+z)^{\theta} \right] \ge \delta(|1+z|^{\theta} + |1-z|^{\theta}) \ge \delta.$$

We also see that $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ touches the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$ only at 1 in a non-tangential way, meaning that for some constant C > 1:

$$1 - |\phi(z)| \ge \frac{1}{C} |1 - \phi(z)|, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Now, we have the following two inequalities:

(5.25)
$$\Re e z \ge 0 \implies |w(z)| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{|1-z|^{\theta}}\right)$$

(5.26)
$$z \in \mathbb{D} \implies |\psi(z)| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{|1-z|^{\theta^2}}\right).$$

Indeed, with $S(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\theta}$, we have $\Re e S(z) \ge \delta |S(z)| \ge \delta |1-z|^{-\theta}$ when $\Re e z \ge 0$, giving (5.25), and (5.24) and (5.25) imply, since $\Re e \phi(z) \ge 0$:

$$|\psi(z)| = |w(\phi(z))| \le \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{|1-\phi(z)|^{\theta}}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{|1-z|^{\theta^2}}\right).$$

We now set:

(5.27)
$$\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \left(\phi(z_1), \psi(z_1) h(z_2)\right),$$

with h a Rudin function.

Observe that $\phi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi = w \circ \phi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ as well $(w \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with w(1) = 0; this is due to the presence of the parameter $\theta < 1$). hence if we take for h a finite Blaschke product, the two components of Φ are in the bidisk algebra $A(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

We have $\|\psi\|_{\infty} := \rho < 1$. In fact, for $\Re e u \ge 0$, we have:

$$\left|\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right| \ge 2^{-\theta} |1+u|^{\theta} \ge 2^{-\theta} (1+\Re e u)^{\theta} \ge 2^{-\theta},$$

hence:

$$\Re e\left[\left(\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right)^{\theta}\right] \ge \left(\cos\frac{\theta\pi}{2}\right) \left|\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right|^{\theta} \ge \left(\cos\frac{\theta\pi}{2}\right) 2^{-\theta} = \delta 2^{-\theta},$$

and $||w \circ \phi||_{\infty} \le e^{2^{-\theta}\delta}$.

Now, 1) follows from the orthogonal model presented in Section 5.1, because $\|\psi\|_{\infty} < 1$.

The assertion 2) follows from [2], Theorem 3.1, since $\|\phi\|_{\infty} = 1$. We now prove 3).

As observed, C_{Φ} can be viewed as a direct sum $T = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k$ acting on a Hilbertian sum $H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k$, where T_k acts on a copy H_k of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with:

$$T_k = M_{\psi^k} C_\phi$$

We fix the positive integer *n*. The rest of the proof will consist of three lemmas. Lemma 5.13. We have $||T_k|| \le 2\rho^{-k} \le 2\rho^{-n}$ for k > n.

Proof. Indeed, since $\phi(0) = 1/2$, we know that $||C_{\phi}|| \le \sqrt{\frac{1+\phi(0)}{1-\phi(0)}} = \sqrt{3} \le 2$, so that $||T_k|| \le ||\psi^k||_{\infty} ||C_{\phi}|| \le \rho^{-k} \times 2$.

Lemma 5.14. Set $b = a/\delta^2$ where a > 0 is given by $e^{-a} = 4C/\sqrt{16C^2 + 1}$ and C is as in (2.1). Let m_k be the smallest integer such that $k \delta^2 2^{m_k \theta^2} \ge an$; namely:

(5.28)
$$m_k = \left[\frac{\log(b n/k)}{\theta^2 \log 2}\right] + 1,$$

where [.] stands for the integer part. Then, with $a' = \min(\log 2, a)$:

$$a_{nm_k+1}(T_k) \lesssim e^{-a'n}$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.3 applied with $w = \psi^k$, $R = k \delta^2$ and θ changed into θ^2 . This is possible thanks to (5.26) and to Lemma 5.13. Moreover we have adjusted m_k so as to make the two terms in Theorem 2.3 of the same order.

Lemma 5.15. The dimension $d := \sum_{k=0}^{n} n m_k$ satisfies, for some positive constant α :

$$d \leq \alpha n^2$$
.

Proof. Indeed, it is well-known that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log k = n \log n - n + \mathcal{O}(\log n)$$

and, in view of (5.28), we have $m_k \leq \alpha'_{\theta} \log(b n/k) \leq \alpha''_{\theta} (\log n - \log k)$; hence:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \le \alpha_{\theta}^{\prime\prime} \left[n \log n - \left(n \log n - n + \mathcal{O}\left(\log n\right) \right) \right] = \alpha_{\theta}^{\prime\prime} n + \mathcal{O}\left(\log n\right)$$

and we get $d \leq \alpha_{\theta}^{\prime\prime} n^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(n \log n\right) \leq \alpha_{\theta} n^2$.

Alternatively, we could have used a Riemann sum for the function $\log(1/x)$ on (0, 1].

Finally, putting things together and using as well Proposition 5.3 with K = n and $n_k = nm_k + 1$ so that $(\sum_{k=0}^n n_k) - n = (\sum_{k=0}^n n m_k) + 1 = d + 1$, we get ignoring once more multiplicative constants:

$$a_{n^2}(T) \lesssim a_d(T) \le \alpha \,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta n}$$

with positive constants α , β . This ends the proof of Theorem 5.12.

6 Monge-Ampère capacity and applications

6.1 Definition

Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{D}^m (in this section, for notational reasons, we denote the dimension by m instead of d). The Monge-Ampère capacity of K has been defined by Bedford and Taylor ([3]; see also [13], § 5 or [11], Chapter 1) as:

$$\operatorname{Cap}_{m}(K) = \sup\left\{\int_{K} (dd^{c}u)^{m}; \ u \in PSH \text{ and } 0 \le u \le 1\right\},\$$

where PSH is the set of plurisubharmonic functions on \mathbb{D}^m , $dd^c = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}$, and $(dd^c)^m = dd^c \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c$ (*m* times). When $u \in PSH \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{D}^m)$, we have:

$$(dd^{c}u)^{m} = 4^{m}m! \det\left(\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial z_{j}\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right) dV(z),$$

where $dV(z) = (i/2)^m dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_m \wedge d\bar{z}_m$ is the usual volume in \mathbb{C}^m . A more convenient formula (because \mathbb{D}^m is bounded and hyperconvex: see [11], p. 80, for the definition) is:

$$\operatorname{Cap}_m(K) = \int_K (dd^c u_K^*)^m \,,$$

where u_K^* is called the *extremal function of* K and is the upper semi-continuous regularization of:

$$u_K = \sup\{v \in PSH; v \leq 0 \text{ and } v \leq -1 \text{ on } K\},\$$

but we will not need that.

As in [28], we set:

(6.1)
$$\tau_m(K) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \operatorname{Cap}_m(K) \; .$$

For m = 1, $\tau(K) := \tau_1(K)$ is equal to the Green capacity Cap (K) of K with respect to \mathbb{D} , with the definition used in [21] (see [13], Theorem 8.1, where a factor 2π is introduced).

We further set:

(6.2)
$$\Gamma_m(K) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{m!}{\tau_m(K)}\right)^{1/m}\right]$$

We proved in [21] that, for m = 1, and $\varphi \colon \mathbb{D} \to r\mathbb{D}$, with 0 < r < 1, we have:

(6.3)
$$\beta_1(C_{\varphi}) = \Gamma_1(\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})})$$

The goal of this section is to see that Theorem 5.12 shows that this no longer holds for m = 2.

6.2 A seminal example

In one variable, our initial motivation had been the simple-minded example $\varphi(z) = rz$, 0 < r < 1, for which $C_{\varphi}(z^n) = r^n z^n$, implying $a_n(C_{\varphi}) = r^{n-1}$ and $\beta_1(C_{\varphi}) = r$. If $K = \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} = \overline{D}(0, r)$, we have Cap $(K) = \frac{1}{\log 1/r}$ and $\Gamma_1(K) = r$, so that $\beta_1(C_{\varphi}) = \Gamma_1(K)$. Let us examine the multivariate example (where $0 < r_j < 1$):

$$\Phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = (r_1 z_1, r_2 z_2, \dots, r_m z_m).$$

If $K = \overline{\Phi(\mathbb{D}^m)}$, we have $K = \prod_{k=1}^m \overline{D}(0, r_k)$, and hence ([5], Theorem 3):

(6.4)
$$\tau_m(K) = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\log(1/r_k)} \cdot$$

On the other hand, $C_{\Phi}(z_1^{n_1}z_2^{n_2}\cdots z_m^{n_m}) = r_1^{n_1}r_2^{n_2}\cdots r_m^{n_m}z_1^{n_1}z_2^{n_2}\cdots z_m^{n_m}$ so that the sequence $(a_n)_n$ of approximation numbers of C_{Φ} is the non-increasing rearrangement of the numbers $r_1^{n_1}r_2^{n_2}\cdots r_m^{n_m}$. It is convenient to state the following simple lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ be positive numbers. Let N_A be the number of mtuples of non-negative integers (n_1, \ldots, n_m) such that $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k n_k \leq A$. Then, as $A \to \infty$:

$$N_A \sim \frac{A^m}{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m) \, m!} \, \cdot$$

Indeed, just apply Karamata's tauberian theorem (see [12] p. 30) to the generalized Dirichlet series:

$$S(\varepsilon) := \prod_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda_k \varepsilon}} = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_m \ge 0} e^{-(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k n_k) \varepsilon};$$

we have $S(\varepsilon) \sim \frac{\varepsilon^{-m}}{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m)}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$.

Let now N be a positive integer and $\varepsilon = a_N$. Setting $\lambda_k = \log(1/r_k)$ and $A = \log(1/\varepsilon)$, we see that N is the number of m-tuples (n_1, \ldots, n_m) of nonnegative integers such that $r_1^{n_1} r_2^{n_2} \cdots r_m^{n_m} \ge \varepsilon$, i.e. such that $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k n_k \le A$. This number N is hence nothing but the number N_A of the previous lemma, so that:

$$N \sim \frac{A^m}{(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m) \, m!} \, \cdot \,$$

Inverting this formula, we get:

$$a_N(C_{\Phi}) = \exp\left[-\left(1 + o\left(1\right)\right)\left(m!(\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_m)N\right)^{1/m}\right]$$

and:

$$\beta_m(C_{\Phi}) = \exp\left[-(m!\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_m)^{1/m}\right] = \Gamma_m(K),$$

in view of (6.2) and (6.4).

On the view of the simple-minded previous example, the extension of the spectral radius formula (6.3) to the multivariate case holds, and it is tempting to conjecture that this is a general phenomenon as in dimension one, all the more as the following extension of Widom's theorem was proved by Zakharyuta, based on the solution by S. Nivoche of Zakharyuta's conjecture ([23]); see also [28], Theorem 5.4. A compact subset K of \mathbb{D}^m is said to be *regular* if its extremal function u_K^* is continuous on \mathbb{D}^m .

Theorem 6.2 ([28], Theorem 5.6). Let K be a regular compact subset of \mathbb{D}^m and $J: H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^m) \to \mathcal{C}(K)$ the canonical injection; then the Kolmogorov numbers $d_n(J)$ satisfy:

(6.5)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[d_n(J) \right]^{1/n^{1/m}} = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{m!}{\tau_m(K)}\right)^{1/m} \right].$$

Note that the right side is nothing but $\Gamma_m(K)$.

We will see consequences of this result in a forthcoming paper ([22]).

6.3 Upper bound

For the upper bound, the situation behaves better, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3 ([28], Proposition 6.1). Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{D}^m with non-void interior. Then:

(6.6)
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[d_n(J) \right]^{1/n^{1/m}} \le \exp\left[-\left(\frac{m!}{\tau_m(K)}\right)^{1/m} \right].$$

Note that (K, \mathbb{D}^m) is a condenser since K has non-void interior. We deduce the following upper bound.

Theorem 6.4. Let Φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D}^m with $\|\Phi\|_{\infty} = \rho < 1$, thus inducing a compact composition operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^m)$. Then we have:

$$\beta_m^+(C_\Phi) \le \Gamma_m(\overline{\Phi(\mathbb{D}^m)})$$

Proof. This proof provides in particular a simplification of that given in [21] in dimension m = 1.

Changing n into n^m , Theorem 6.3 means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $(n^m - 1)$ -dimensional subspace V of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ such that, for any $g \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^m)$, there exists $h \in V$ such that:

(6.7)
$$\|g - h\|_{\mathcal{C}(K)} \le C_{\varepsilon} (1 + \varepsilon)^n [\Gamma_m(K)]^n \|g\|_{\infty}$$

Let *l* be an integer to be adjusted later, and $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \in B_{H^2}$, as well as $g(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} b_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$. We first note that (with M_m depending only on *m* and ρ , and since the number of α 's such that $|\alpha| \leq p$ is $O(p^m)$):

$$\sum_{|\alpha|>l} \rho^{2|\alpha|} \le M_m \sum_{p>l} p^m \, \rho^{2p} \le M_m l^m \, \frac{\rho^{2l}}{(1-\rho^2)^{m+1}} \, \cdot$$

We next observe that, by the Cauchy-Schwarz and Parseval inequalities:

$$(6.8) ||g||_{\infty} \le M_m l^{m/2}$$

and

(6.9)
$$|f(z) - g(z)| \le M_m \, l^{m/2} \frac{|z|_{\infty}^l}{(1 - |z|_{\infty}^2)^{(m+1)/2}}, \qquad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}^m.$$

where $|z|_{\infty} := \max_{j \le m} |z_j|$ if $z = (z_1, ..., z_m)$.

The subspace F formed by functions $v \circ \Phi$, for $v \in V$, can be viewed as a subspace of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^m) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T}^m)$ with respect to the Haar measure of \mathbb{T}^m , the distinguished boundary of \mathbb{D}^m (indeed, we can write $(v \circ \Phi)^* = v \circ \Phi^*$, where Φ^* denotes the almost everywhere existing radial limits of $\Phi(rz)$, which belong to K). Let finally $E = P(F) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D}^m)$ where $P: L^2(\mathbb{T}^m) \to H^2(\mathbb{T}^m) = H^2(\mathbb{D}^m)$ is the orthogonal projection. This is a subspace of H^2 with dimension $< n^m$. Set temporarily $\eta = C_{\varepsilon}(1+\varepsilon)^n [\Gamma_m(K)]^n$. It follows from (6.7) and (6.8) that, for some $h \in V$:

$$||g - h||_{\mathcal{C}(K)} \le \eta ||g||_{\infty} \le \eta M_m l^{m/2}$$

and hence:

$$\|g \circ \Phi - h \circ \Phi\|_2 \le \|g \circ \Phi - h \circ \Phi\|_{\infty} \le \eta M_m l^{m/2},$$

implying by orthogonal projection:

dist
$$(C_{\Phi}g, E) \leq ||g \circ \Phi - P(h \circ \Phi)||_2 \leq \eta M_m l^{m/2}$$
.

Now, since $C_{\Phi}f(z) - C_{\Phi}g(z) = f(\Phi(z)) - g(\Phi(z))$, (6.9) gives:

$$\|C_{\Phi}f - C_{\Phi}g\|_{2} \le \|C_{\Phi}f - C_{\Phi}g\|_{\infty} \le M_{m} l^{m/2} \frac{\rho^{l}}{(1-\rho^{2})^{(m+1)/2}}$$

and hence:

dist
$$(C_{\Phi}f, E) \leq M_m l^{m/2} \left(\frac{\rho^l}{(1-\rho^2)^{(m+1)/2}} + C_{\varepsilon}(1+\varepsilon)^n \left[\Gamma_m(K) \right]^n \right).$$

It ensues, since $a_N(C_{\Phi}) = d_N(C_{\Phi})$, that:

$$\left[a_{n^m}(C_{\Phi})\right]^{1/n} \le (M_m \, l^{m/2})^{1/n} \left[\frac{\rho^{l/n}}{(1-\rho^2)^{(m+1)/2n}} + C_{\varepsilon}^{1/n}(1+\varepsilon) \, \Gamma_m(K)\right].$$

Taking now for l the integer part of $n \log n$, and passing to the upper limit as $n \to \infty$, we obtain (since $l/n \to \infty$ and $(\log l)/n \to 0$):

$$\beta_m^+(C_\Phi) \le (1+\varepsilon) \Gamma_m(K),$$

and Theorem 6.4 follows.

Acknowledgements: The two first-named authors would like to thank the colleagues of the University of Sevilla for their kind hospitality, which allowed a pleasant and useful stay, during which this collaboration was initiated. They also thank E. Fricain, S. Nivoche, J. Ortega-Cerdà, and A. Zeriahi for useful discussions and informations.

The third-named author is partially supported by the project MTM2015-63699-P (Spanish MINECO and FEDER funds).

References

- [1] É. Amar and A. Lederer, Points exposés de la boule unité de $H^{\infty}(D)$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **272** (1971), A 1449–A 1452.
- [2] F. Bayart, D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Approximation numbers of composition operators on the Hardy and Bergman spaces of the ball and of the polydisk, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., to appear (DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004117000263).

- [3] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, Acta Mathematica 149 (1982), 1–40.
- [4] C. J. Bishop, Orthogonal functions in H[∞], Pacific J. Math. 220 (1) (2005), 1–31.
- [5] Z. Błocki, Equilibrium measure of a product subset of Cⁿ, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 12, 3595−3599.
- [6] P. S. Bourdon, Rudin's orthogonality problem and the Nevanlinna counting function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (4) (1997), 1187–1192.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Dover Publ. Inc., Mineola-New York (2000).
- [8] E. Gallardo-Guttiérez, R. Kumar, and J. Partington, Boundedness, Compactness and Schatten-class membership of weighted composition operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 67 (4) (2010), 467–479.
- G. Gunatillake, Spectrum of a compact, weighted composition operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2) (2007), 461–467.
- [10] S. Hyvärinen, M. Lindström, I. Nieminen, and E. Saukko, Spectra of weighted composition operators with automorphic symbols, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (8) (2013), 1749–1777.
- [11] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series 6, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1991).
- [12] J. Korevaar, Tauberian Theory. A century of developments, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Vol. 329, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).
- [13] M. Koskenoja, *Pluripotential theory and capacity inequalities*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. No. 127 (2002).
- [14] G. Lechner, D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Approximation numbers of weighted composition operators, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
- [15] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Compact composition operators on Bergman-Orlicz spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (8) (2013), 3943–3970.
- [16] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Some new properties of composition operators associated with lens maps, Israel J. Math. 195 (2) (2013), 801–824.
- [17] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Compact composition operators on the Dirichlet space and capacity of sets of contact points, J. Funct. Anal. 264 (4) (2013), 895–919.

- [18] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Approximation numbers of composition operators on the Dirichlet space, Ark. Mat. 53 (1) (2015), 155–175.
- [19] D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, On approximation numbers of composition operators, Journ. Approx. Theory 164 (4) (2012), 431–459.
- [20] D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Estimates for approximation numbers of some classes of composition operators on the Hardy space, Ann. Acad. Scient. Fennicae 38 (2013), 547–564.
- [21] D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, A spectral radius formula for approximation numbers of composition operators, Journ. Funct. Anal. 160 (12) (2015), 430–454.
- [22] D. Li, H. Queffélec, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Pluricapacity and approximation numbers of composition operators, in preparation.
- [23] S. Nivoche, Proof of a conjecture of Zahariuta concerning a problem of Kolmogorov on the ε-entropy, Invent. Math. 158 (2004), no. 2, 413–450.
- [24] A. Pietsch, s-numbers of operators in Banach spaces, Studia Math. LI (1974), 201–223.
- [25] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1980).
- [26] J. H. Shapiro, Composition operators and classical function theory, Universitext, Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1993).
- [27] H. Widom, Rational approximation and n-dimensional diameter, J. Approx. Theory 5 (1972), 342–361.
- [28] V. Zakharyuta, Extendible bases and Kolmogorov problem on asymptotics of entropy and widths of some classes of analytic functions, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse Vol. XX, numéro spécial (2011), 211–239.

Daniel Li

Univ. Artois, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Lens (LML) EA 2462, & Fédération CNRS Nord-Pas-de-Calais FR 2956, Faculté Jean Perrin, Rue Jean Souvraz, S.P. 18 F-62 300 LENS, FRANCE

daniel.li@euler.univ-artois.fr

Hervé Queffélec

Univ. Lille Nord de France, USTL, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé U.M.R. CNRS 8524 & Fédération CNRS Nord-Pas-de-Calais FR 2956 F-59 655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ Cedex, FRANCE Herve.Queffelec@univ-lille1.fr

Luis Rodríguez-Piazza

Universidad de Sevilla, Facultad de Matemáticas, Departamento de Análisis Matemático & IMUS, Apartado de Correos 1160 41 080 SEVILLA, SPAIN piazza@us.es